# Pupil premium strategy statement 2020 – 2021

| 1. Summary information |                                      |                                  |         |  |      |  |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--|------|--|
| School                 | Latchford St James CE Primary School |                                  |         |  |      |  |
| Academic Year          | 2021-22                              | Total PP budget                  | £78,010 | Date of most recent PP Review                  | N/A  |  |
| Total number of pupils | 165                                  | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 58      | Date for next internal review of this strategy | 2022 |  |

| 2. C   | urrent attainment – No 2020 – 2021 data available as no external sch  | nool published        |  |   |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Keystage 2 outcomes 2019 – Most recent published data  |   |                       | National Average   | 19 Pupils not eligible for PP                 |  |  |
|  |   | for PP -50% SEND      | for all pupils   |   |  |  |
| % achieving the expected level in reading  |   |                       | 73%  | 58%   |  |  |
| % achieving the expected level in writing  |   |                       | 77%  | 63%   |  |  |
| % ach  | ieving the expected level in mathematics  | 100%                  | 79%  | 79%   |  |  |
| % ach  | ieving the expected levels in reading/writing/maths combined  | 40%                   | 65%  | 42%   |  |  |
| 3. Ba  | arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)   |                       |  |   |  |  |
| In-sch   | nool barriers   |                       |  |   |  |  |
| Α.   | Low age related abilities in CLL, Reading Writing and Maths on entry i  | nto EYFS.             |  |   |  |  |
| В.   | B. Development of language skills for PP children across the school   |                       |  |   |  |  |
| C. To diminish the difference between PP children and non PP children in reading, writing and maths  |   |                       |  |   |  |  |
| D.   | To support children to catch up missed learning due to COVID-19   |                       |  |   |  |  |
| E  | ternal barriers   |                       |  |   |  |  |
| D.   | Attendance and of vulnerable groups   |                       |  |   |  |  |
| 4. [   | Desired outcomes  | Success criteria      |  |   |  |  |
| Α.   | To improve communication, language and processing skills in EYFS  | Evidence of rapid pro | Evidence of rapid progress across all EYFS to ensure pupils meet GLD |   |  |  |
| В.   | To ensure PP children catch up from missed learning due to COVID-19 Assessment data will show progress of PP children compared to non-pp children |                       |  |   |  |  |
| C. Improve outcomes for the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure greater depth working Increased proportions of the most able pupil premium children to secure g |   |                       | s of the most able workin  | g at greater depth in English and Mathematics |  |  |

| 5. Planned exper   | diture   |   |  |                     |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Academic year  | 2020-20  | 021 – funding £78,010   | - funding £78,010  |                     |  |  |
| •  | below enable schoo<br>d support whole sch  | ols to demonstrate how they are u<br>ool strategies   | ising the Pupil Premium to imp   | rove classroor      | n pedagogy, provide  |  |
| i. Quality first tea   | aching for all   |   |  |                     |  |  |
| Desired outcome  | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff lead          | When will you review implementation?   |  |
| Improve the age related<br>percentage and progress<br>rates for children across the<br>school in reading, writing<br>and maths | Quality first teaching<br>CPD – deep div Ofsted<br>training  | Quality first teaching for all to improve/<br>maintain outcomes<br>Baselines indicate that most PP children<br>generally do not make as much progress as<br>non PP.             | Ongoing monitoring and evaluation of teaching and learning – detailed analysis of data will occur on half termly basis | SLT –<br>P.Williams | Termly   |  |
| ii. Targeted supp  | ort  | I   |  |                     |  |  |
| Desired outcome  | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff lead          | When will you review implementation?   |  |
| Appropriate provision in<br>place for those pupils<br>causing concern  | Rapid referral for to<br>relevant specialists so that<br>appropriate provision is<br>put in place<br>(Pyramid/Local Authority)<br>1:1 support (£4,400)<br>Behaviour therapy (Lego)<br>(£1,000) | Rapid referrals implemented previously have<br>effectively ensured appropriate provision is in<br>place.<br>To support emotional health and wellbeing of<br>identified learners | Monitoring and evaluation of outcomes<br>and provision   | SENCO –<br>J. Lloyd | Ongoing – SLT<br>Pyramid support school with Ed<br>Psych reports requested by SEN<br>Co-Ordinator. |  |

| Desired outcome  | Chosen action /<br>approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff lead                               | When will you review implementation?                                   |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| iii. Other approac   | hes   |  |  | -  |  |
|  | 1   |  | Total bu   | dgeted cost                              | £78,010  |
|  |   |  |  |  | (£19,776   |
|  | consolidate learning (pm<br>sessions)<br>Additional TA time to<br>support learners with<br>specific difficulties<br>Additional phonics work | agencies.  |  |  |  |
| SEND make good progress<br>according to their needs<br>and abilities | 1:1 interventions<br>small group support<br>catch-up work to  | Assessment data shows that some SEN pupils<br>make less progress without interventions –<br>following advice from SENCO and external | Regular monitoring of provision and<br>outcomes<br>CPD to deliver programmes.                      | SENCO/SLT/Cla<br>ss teachers and<br>TA's | Ongoing  |
|  |   |  | Specialist teacher to work with identified pupils  |  | (£2,534  |
| number pupils working at<br>Greater Depth in Maths and<br>English    | interventions to boost and<br>further develop learning<br>for more able pupils  | reaching their full potential.   | NACE/staff training<br>Planned timetable for provision<br>Monitoring provision and outcomes        | leaders/class<br>teachers/<br>SLT        |  |
| PP More Able – increase  | 1:1 and small group   | Assessment data shows that some PP are not   | programme by pupils<br>Audit and purchase of required resources<br>TA support                      | Subject                                  | (£55,700)<br>Half termly   |
| attainment in<br>Reading/writing/maths                               | /Reading Plus<br>programmes   | securing better outcomes   | reading, writing, maths.<br>Staff CPD to use programmes<br>Staff to monitor access of reading plus | Ordinator/<br>SLT                        | Reading, Maths and English   |
| Improving progress and   | 1:1 Intervention using  | Effectiveness of intervention programmes in  | TA's to support class teachers to promote  | Subject Co-                              | Included in SEND costing<br>Half Termly                                |
|  | Chatty Therapy and<br>implemented/reviewed<br>regularly in school by<br>trained TA's  |  | Planned timetable for provision<br>Monitoring provision and outcomes<br>Regularly reviewed         | SENCO                                    |  |
| Speech and Language<br>Skills Improved                               | Bespoke S&L<br>programmes delivered by  | Previous use of Chatty Therapy programmes has proved effective across whole school.  | Specialist TA delivering programme and<br>continuous CPD for those staff.                          | Chatty<br>Therapy/TA's/                  | Chatty Therapy review of provision half termly with appropriate staff. |

| SLA with Local Authority<br>Attendance Department | Weekly monitoring by school's Attendance<br>Officer highlighted pupils with less than 95%<br>attendance.<br>Local Authority SLA has proved to be effective<br>with identified families. | Weekly monitoring and discussions<br>Regular follow up to absence | H/T<br>Attendance<br>Officer<br>LA | Ongoing |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|---------|
| Total budgeted cost                               |   |   |                                    | £2,200  |

| 6. Review of expenditure   |                               |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Pupil Premium Funding 2020   | – 2021 £574 (carried forward) |
| Description of Provision   | Expenditure                   |
| Teaching assistant targeted support & Teacher Tuition and intervention | £67,544                       |
| Subsidised educational visits & curriculum enhancements                | £6,161                        |
| Afterschool activities   | £1755                         |
| Resources for lessons  | £2550                         |
| Total  | £78,010                       |

#### KS2 Outcomes:

There were 10 children in our Year 6 class eligible for Pupil Premium in 2020-2021. Attainment

30% of our Pupil Premium eligible children met or exceeded the expected standard in Reading. 50% of our Pupil Premium eligible children met or exceeded the expected standard in Writing 40% of our Pupil Premium eligible children met or exceeded the expected standard in Maths. 30% of our Pupil Premium eligible children met or exceeded the expected standard in RWM.

### **KS1** Outcomes:

There were 7 children in our Year 2 class eligible for Pupil Premium in 2020-2021.

43% of our Pupil Premium eligible children (including SEN) met or exceeded the expected standard in Reading.
43% of our Pupil Premium eligible children (including SEN) met or exceeded the expected standard in Writing.
43% of our Pupil Premium eligible children (including SEN) met or exceeded the expected standard in Maths.

## Year 1 Phonics Outcomes:

There were 8 children in our Year 1 class eligible for Pupil Premium in 2020-2021. 50% of our Pupil Premium eligible children (including SEN) met or exceeded the expected standard in Phonics.

## Foundation Stage Good Level of Development

There were 5 children in our Reception class eligible for Pupil Premium in 2020-2021. 60 % of our Pupil Premium eligible children met or exceeded the expected standard at the end of Foundation stage.